



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/820,841	03/30/2001	Toshifumi Suganaga	57454-025	7044		
	590 09/13/2002					
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER			
600 13th Street Washington, De	, N.W. C 20005-3096		YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER G			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1756	2		
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	09/820,84	1	Suganasa	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	Yo	nns	Suganaga Group Art Unit 1752	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app		0		-
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH	(S) FROM THE MAILING D	ATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	a reply within the statutory minult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS t	nimum of thirty (3) from the mailing d	days will be considered timely late of this communication .	
Status	1 1			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3/3/11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
☐ This action is FINAL.	. ,			
Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1			to the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
%Claim(s)		is/arc	e pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/arc	e withdrawn from considerate	tion.
☐ Claim(s)		is/arc	e allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/ard	e rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	e objected to.	
Ç Claim(s)				ion
Application Papers			irement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	d 🗆 disapprov	ved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examine	r.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies				
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun 	nhar)			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Notice ☐ received in this national stage application from the I				
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r No(s)	Interview Sur	nmary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	C	Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application, PT	O-152
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948	Other		
O ll	ice Action Summary			
3. Patent and Trademark Office			Part of Paper No	

*U.S. GPO: 1998-454-457/97505

Application/Control Number: 09/820,841

Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-2 and 6-8, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 355, subclass 18.
 - II. Claims 3-5 and 9-12, drawn to method and article formed, classified in class 430, subclass 30.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be utilized in a flood exposure process to over solubilize a positive working resist ecomposition for wafer rework.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. The Examiner reminds applicants of the rejoinder procedures set forth in in re OCHIAI. Election of the apparatus, and withdrawal of the method claims would result in a rejoinder of the inventions at the time of allowance of the apparatus claims, as long as the withdrawn method includes all features of the allowed apparatus.

5. A telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Young whose telephone number is (703) 308-2984.

CHRISTOPHER G. YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER